

Goodness of Fit

(7.1)

→ consider the regression model:

$$y = \alpha + \beta x + \varepsilon$$

→ we want to know how well the model explains the variance of  $y$

→ the predictions

$$\hat{y} = \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta} x$$

where:  $\hat{\alpha} = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta} \bar{x}$   
 $\hat{\beta} = \frac{\text{cov}(y, x)}{\text{var}(x)}$

must be compared ~~with~~ with the observed values of  $y$

→ so compute the observed residuals

$$\hat{\varepsilon} = y - \hat{y}$$

→ note that

$$(y - \bar{y}) = (\hat{y} - \bar{y}) + \hat{\varepsilon}$$

→ also note that we use  $(y - \bar{y})$  to compute the variance of  $y$

→ so we're essentially going to look at variance today

$$\sum (y - \bar{y})^2 = \sum [(\hat{y} - \bar{y}) + \hat{\epsilon}]^2$$

$$= \sum [(\hat{y} - \bar{y})^2 + 2 \cdot (\hat{y} - \bar{y}) \hat{\epsilon} + \hat{\epsilon}^2]$$

$$= \sum (\hat{y} - \bar{y})^2 + 2 \cdot \sum (\hat{y} - \bar{y}) \hat{\epsilon} + \sum \hat{\epsilon}^2$$

Focus on this term

$$\sum (\hat{y} - \bar{y}) \hat{\epsilon} = \sum (\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}x - \bar{y}) \hat{\epsilon}$$

$$= \hat{\alpha} \underbrace{\sum \hat{\epsilon}}_{\text{ZERO}} + \hat{\beta} \underbrace{\sum x \hat{\epsilon}}_{\text{ASSUMED ZERO}} - \bar{y} \underbrace{\sum \hat{\epsilon}}_{\text{ZERO}}$$

(by Gauss-Markov assumptions)

→ so if Gauss Markov assumption  
of  $\text{cov}(\epsilon, x) = 0$  holds then:

$$\sum (y - \bar{y})^2 = \sum (\hat{y} - \bar{y})^2 + \sum \hat{\epsilon}^2$$

TOTAL	=	EXPLAINED	+	RESIDUAL
SUM OF		SUM OF		SUM OF
SQUARES		SQUARES		SQUARES
(TSS)		(ESS)		(RSS)

→ if we have a good regression  
model, then RSS will be low  
and ESS will be high relative to TSS

$$TSS = ESS + RSS$$

$$r = \frac{ESS}{TSS} + \frac{RSS}{TSS}$$

$$R^2 = \frac{ESS}{TSS} = r - \frac{RSS}{TSS}$$

→ In theory, we could use either  $ESS/TSS$  or  $1 - \frac{RSS}{TSS}$  to compute  $R^2$ , but in practice we use the latter

(7.9)

$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{\sum \hat{\epsilon}^2}{\sum (y - \bar{y})^2}$$

→ Notice that when residuals are smaller  $R^2$  is higher

→ This measure essentially compares the variance of the residuals (remember that expected value of residual is zero) to the variance of  $y$

→ A higher  $R^2$  implies a better model fit

→ So  $R^2$  is great, but if you just keep adding variables to the model,  $R^2$  will rise

→ "Adjusted  $R^2$ " penalizes you for adding terms, but rewards you for better model fit

$$Adj R^2 = 1 - \frac{\sum \hat{\epsilon}^2 / (n-k)}{\sum (y - \bar{y})^2 / (n-1)}$$

where  $k$  is number of estimated parameters

⚡

→ degree of freedom

- $(n-1)$  is df when computing the variance of  $y$
- $(n-k)$  is df of regression model

→ degree of freedom

9.6

- suppose you have 100 observations
- to compute variance of  $y$  you first must compute  $\bar{y}$ , so subtract one ~~from~~ to get df

$$df = 100 - 1 = 99$$



- in the case of a regression model you have estimated  $k$  parameters

$$y = \alpha + \beta x$$

here  $k = 2$  (one for  $\alpha$ , one for  $\beta$ )

so  $df = 100 - 2 = 98$



- the reverse occurs when working with explained sum of squares

↗

- when working w/ ESS

(7.7)

$$\hat{y} = \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}x$$

so only one variable determines  $\hat{y}$

$$df = 1$$

- Note however that if:

$$\hat{y} = \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}x_1 + \hat{\gamma}x_2$$

then there would be  $df = 2$

~~if~~

## F-test

→ in previous lectures we focused on estimating coefficients & comparing their values to the std error (testing for statistical significance of coefficient)

→ But we may also want to test the overall model or compare one model to another

→ For that purpose, we use F-test

→ Simple example: test  $H_0: \beta = 0$

• Regression model:  $y = \alpha + \beta x + \epsilon$

• if  $\beta = 0$ , then ~~then~~  $\bar{y} = \alpha$

because  $\bar{y} = \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta} \bar{x}$

• so we want to test

$y = \alpha + \epsilon$  against  $y = \alpha + \beta x + \epsilon$

~~then~~

• the regression model has  $(n - k)$  degrees of freedom

• the test imposes ONE Restriction

i.e.  $H_0: \beta = 0$ , so  $m = 1$



F-test

$$F = \frac{(RSS_R - RSS_{UR})/m}{RSS_{UR}/(n-k)} = \frac{(R_{UR}^2 - R_R^2)/m}{(1 - R_{UR}^2)/(n-k)}$$

$RSS_R$  is restricted sum of squares in the "restricted case" (ie when  $\beta = 0$ )

$RSS_{UR}$  is unrestricted RSS

$m$  is "numerator df" or in this case its the number of ~~the~~ restrictions (in this case: one)

$(n-k)$  is "denominator df" or in this case its df of regression model



Note that when  $\beta = 0$  ~~the~~ the regression model becomes:

$$y = \alpha + \epsilon$$

$$\hat{y} = \bar{y}$$

so  $\sum \hat{\epsilon}^2 = \sum (y - \bar{y})^2$   
 $RSS = TSS$  and  $R_R^2 = 0$  ★